THE INVESTIGATION OF GINGIVAL CREVICAL FLUID PROSTHOGLANDIN E2 LEVEL OF THE TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS PATIENTS WITH PERIODONTITIS

F. Kaya1, F. Çağlayan2, A. Dag1, H. Kaya3, C. Kaya4
Dicle University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Periodontology, Diyarbakir, Turkey1
Hacettepe University Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Periodontology, Ankara, Turkey2
Dicle University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Diyarbakir, Turkey3
Dicle University, Faculty of Veterinary, Department of Animal Nutrition and Nutritional Diseases, Ankara, Turkey4

ABSTRACT
Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a risk factor for periodontal diseases and oral complications are frequently encountered in diabetic patients. In recent studies it was hypothesized that, the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) levels are higher in type I diabetic individuals than non diabetics. The aim of our study is to determine the GCF PGE2 levels of the individuals with type II diabetes mellitus and periodontitis. In addition it is aimed to investigate to correlation between the GCF PGE2 levels, the clinical parameters and the severity of the disease. 20 type II diabetes mellitus patient (group I), and 20 systemic healthy ( group II), a total of 40 individual with periodontitis were examined. All individuals pocket dept h (PD), plaque index (PI), gingival index (GI), and gingival bleeding index (GBI) scores were recorded to determine their clinical status. In addition to these, the volume of GCF was also determined from the same sampling side. The GCF PGE2 levels were determined by radioimmunoassay (RIA) method. The GCF PGE2 levels of I. and II. groups were determined sequentially as 61.88±28.71 and 13.30±4.953 pg/nm. The level of PGE2 level was determined significantly higher (p<0.001) in the group of diabetes mellitus patients than systemic healthy group. Any correlation was not determined between the GCF PGE2 level and clinical parameters among both of the groups. By comparing all oral clinical parameters it was established that there was significant differences between the groups (P<0.001). The PD and GCF amount of sampling site was also statistically different among the groups (p<0.05), but the difference of other parameter scores were not statistically important (p>0.05). The findings of this study confirms that diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for periodontal diseases and the correlation between GCF PGE2 level and the severity of the periodontal disease. Attracts attention for the GCF PGE2 level which could be used as a marker to determine the periodontal disease severity among type II diabetes mellitus patients.

Introduction
There are many studies about the correlation between diabetes and the forming of oral diseases. As there is no consensus the main opinion is; diabetes mellitus is a risk factor for periodontitis and the frequent co-incidation of oral complication in diabetes mellitus patients (1, 2, 3, 4-17). In recent epidemiological studies it was reported that diabetes increases the severity of severe
periodontitis or present periodontal disease 2 or 3 times more (18, 19). Very severe gingival inflammations, deep periodontal pockets, rapid bone loss and periodontal abscesses are frequently seen among diabetes mellitus patients with poor oral hygiene (1, 8, 20, 21).

In long term studies it was suggested that the recurrence level after periodontal therapy is higher in diabetic patients than non diabetics and the mean bone and attachment loss is also higher than non diabetics (22, 23).

In the study of Emrich et al on a Pima Indian population it is stated that the severity of the periodontal disease is more exaggerated in type II diabetes mellitus patients than non diabetics (24).

Many investigations were carried out to determine the frequency of severe inflammatory gingival diseases, the correlation between gram (-) LPS (lipopolysaccharide) stimulation and GCF PGE2 level among diabetes mellitus patients (12, 22, 25-28). PGE2 is the strongest mediator of inflammation and plays important roles in the pathogenesis of periodontal diseases (29).

In recent studies type I diabetics and non diabetics were compared and it was found that the monocytic PGE2, IL-1B and TNF-A levels in GCF were 2-3 times more in diabetics than non diabetics. By the light of these data, it was suggested that; diabetic patients shows exaggerated inflammatory response when compared to non diabetics (12, 27).

In our investigation we could not find any literature focused on type II diabetic patients GCF PGE2 levels and its probable relation with clinical parameters. The aim of this study is to determine the GCF PGE2 level of type II diabetes mellitus patients with periodontitis. In addition it is aimed to investigate to correlation between the GCF PGE2 levels, the clinical parameters and the severity of the disease.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

20 individual clinically diagnosed as type II diabetes mellitus with periodontitis (mean age 42.10±4.972) and 20 systhemic healthy with periodontitis (mean age 38.45±2.605) a total of 40 women who applied to Dicle University Medicine Faculty Endocrinology Department and Dentistry Faculty were included to our study. By selecting the patients care was taken for the presence of periodontitis, not to have any systemic problem except diabetes mellitus type II, to have minimum >=5mm PD and minimum 15 teeth, not to have an antibiotic, antiinflammatur and peridontal thearpy in last 6 months.

Any periodontal treatment was not performed to sampling site not to effect the present periodontal status. Also any order was not given to change their oral care. All individuals were informed about about the study and their approval were got.

Clinical Evaluation And Periodontal Examination

Clinical evaluation and GCF sampling procedures were made by one experienced clinician. To determine the patients periodontal status all mouth and sampling site plaque index (30)(PI), gingival index (31)(GI), pocket depth (PD), gingival bleeding index (32)(GBI) scores were determined by using a Williams probe and recorded. All measurements were performed on all teeth at 6 side which are distobuccal, buccal, mesiobuccal, distolingual, lingual and mesiolingual sides and expressed and recorded as milimeter.

Getting Gingival Crevicular Fluid (GCF)

GCF samples were got with spesifically manufactured paper ribbons (periopaper®) by the method of Rudin et al. (33). To prevent the contamination of the sampling material with saliva, in upper jaws anterior teeth, the method is limited with vestibular sides. Care was taken into account to the pocket depth (PD) to be >5 mm. The sampling method was performed al-
TABLE 1

Data of full mouth and sampling site clinical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PD (mm)</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>GI</th>
<th>GBI</th>
<th>GCF (mg)</th>
<th>PGE2 (pg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. group</td>
<td>2.496±0.459*</td>
<td>2.314±0.452</td>
<td>1.545±0.289</td>
<td>%80.01±21.80</td>
<td>4.000±1.429*</td>
<td>61.88±28.71*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. group</td>
<td>2.114±0.203</td>
<td>2.049±0.663</td>
<td>1.183±0.241</td>
<td>%47.78±11.16</td>
<td>1.530±0.551*</td>
<td>13.30±4.953*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Full mouth; * Sampling site; Mean±Standard Deviation

Results and Discussion

The mean and standard deviation scores of diabetes mellitus and systemic healthy patients are shown in Table 1. All the full mouth parameters were significantly different among all the groups (PD; p<0.01, PI, GI and GBI; p<0.001). Among sampling sites values, while there was no statistical important difference between PI, GI, GBI scores (p>0.05) the PD score difference was statistically significant among all the groups (p<0.001). In the topic of GCF PGE2 the ‘Student t Test’ was used to compare the groups and the difference between the groups found statistically significant (p<0.001).

In Table 2 ‘Simple Correlation Analyse’ results in which the sampling site clinical parameters were compared are shown. In the diabetic group; important correlations were determined between PI and GBI, and between GI and GBI (sequentially p<0.05 and p<0.01). In the systemic healthy group; between PI and GBI, GI and GBI, GBI and GCF significiant correlations were determined (p<0.01).

The PGE2 level in GCF and its relation with sampling site parameters are shown in Table 3, the relation between PGE2 level and sampling site clinical parameters were evaluated and were not found significant statistically (p>0.05).

There are many studies about the reala-}


tion between diabetes mellitus and forma-

tion of oral diseases (24, 25). The research
data establishes that the risk of formation of periodontitis is more in the diabetic in-
dividuals whose metabolic control is poor
TABLE 2
The ‘Simple Correlation Analysis’ results in which the sampling site clinical parameters were compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I. GROUP</th>
<th></th>
<th>II. GROUP</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-GI</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>-0.227</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-GBI</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>-0.475</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-PD</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-GCF</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>-0.227</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI-GBI</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI-PD</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI-GCF</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBI-PD</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>-0.246</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBI-GCF</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-GCF</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P<0.05, ** P<0.01

TABLE 3
‘The Correlation Analysis’ results in which the GCF PGE2 levels and sampling site clinical parameters were compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I. GROUP</th>
<th></th>
<th>II. GROUP</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-PGE2</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI-PGE2</td>
<td>-0.281</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>-0.394</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBI-PGE2</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-PGE2</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCF-PGE2</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>-0.154</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P<0.05

and the damage level is higher (34, 35).

There are also many studies aimed to determine the periodontal status and the severity of the present periodontal disease in diabetes mellitus patients (7, 24, 36-38). It is proved by many researches that the GCF of type I diabetes mellitus patients includes more PGE2 levels than non diabetics (12, 27, 29). But there is no study about the level of PGE2 in the GCF of type II diabetes mellitus patients. This study is planned with the aim of determining the GCF PGE2 level of type II diabetes mellitus patients, determining its probable relations with clinical parameters and establishing the probable differences among the groups.

Our study is performed on type II diabetest mellitus patients and systemic healthy individuals who were all with periodontitis. Periodontal disease parameters and mediators in GCF are related primarily with the health of periodontium. Because of this, to determine the just the effects of diabetes all individuals included to the study were selected from periodontitis patients. The aim of standartically selected periodontitis patients is the impossibility of finding these group of patients without periodontitis especially in our population. To prevent our data from a probable cyclus rytm difference care was taken of the individuals to be in the 14. day of menstrual cyclus at the of sampling.

All the clinical parameters were determined significantly higher in the diabetic group than the systemic healthy group. With the knowledge of all our individuals included to the study were periodontitis patients and the diabetes patients higher scores evidence that diabetes is a risk factor (3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 24). This data is in the same opinion with Emrich et al. who reported that the periodontal disease severity is more in diabetes mellitus patients than systemic healthy individuals (24). The PD, PI, GI and GBI scores of sampling site were higher than full mooth scores in both of the groups. This data can be explained as we selected the sampling sites from the most damaged sites periodontally.

When the relations between the clinical parameters were observed it was determined that there was a strong and positive directed correlation between PI and GBI, GI and GBI among all the groups. It is accepted that bleeding after stimulation is the clinical sign of gingival inflammation (39). Because of the bacterial plaque is the main ethiologic factor of gingival inflammation, in our study the positive directed reltion between PI and GBI is an expected result. The GCF volume is determined signifi-
significantly higher in the diabetic group. Cimasoni suggested that there is a positive correlation between the gingival inflammation severity and GCF volume (40). In our study the because of the gingival inflammation severity was more in diabetic group, the high volume of GCF was also an expected result. In addition one of the main complications of diabetes is its effects on vascular system. The special vascular changes of diabetes is often seen in very small arters, arteriols, capillars and venules (41). The predisposition of the diabetic individuals to periodontitis espeially to early onset form is explained by the impairment of capillary permeability (42). The high level of the GCF of the type II diabetes mellitus group in our study can be explained as capillary permeability impairment.

In the case of GCF PGE2 level, in our study it was determined that the healthy groups level is significantly lower than the type II diabetes mellitus group. This result is adjusted with Salvi et al. who suggested that the GCF PGE2 levels of type I diabetess mellitus patients with periodontitis were significantly higher than the non diabetic periodontitis patients (12, 27). In our study the probable relations between all the clinical parameters and the PGE2 level, but no statistical relation was not determined.

As a summary, in our study periodontal disease scores and GCF PGE2 levels are significantly higher than non diabetic patients. As it can be understood from our study, because of the type II diabetes mellitus is a risk factor the diabetic patients their periodontal controls should be performed regularly. By this way, often asymptomatic periodontal diseases which is seen among diabetic individuals can be diagnosed in an easy way. In this study which we compared the two groups can not suggest any relation between PGE2 as a proinflammatory mediator and activity of periodontal disease. But our results can be tought as a different parameter which reflects the clinical periodontal status by GCF PGE2 level. Our opinion is; long term studies in which among more type II diabetes mellitus patients included, GCF pro-inflammatory mediators and cytokin levels determined studies would be useful.
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